

# **Brigham Young University Educator Preparation Program**

In conjunction with the David O. McKay School of Education

### TEAC Annual Report 2010

Undergraduate Teacher Education Licensure Programs in
Early Childhood Education
Elementary Education
Secondary Education
Special Education

#### Oversight by

Jeffrey D. Keith – Associate Academic Vice President and Chair of the University Council for Teacher Education (UCOTE)

and

K. Richard Young - Dean, David O. McKay School of Education

Prepared by members of the Brigham Young University Educator Preparation Program Executive Committee:

Charles Graham – EPP Chair Nancy Wentworth – ElEd/ECE Janet Losser – ElEd/ECE Roni Jo Draper – Secondary Education Blair Bateman – Secondary Education Tim Morrison – ElEd/ECE Peter Rich – IP&T Michelle Marchant – Special Education Aaron Popham - Assessment Gary Kramer - Assessment Coral Hansen - Assessment Jay Oliver – Education Student Services

#### **Table of Contents**

1. Introduction	2
2. Report of Substantive Change	
2.1 Changes in published mission or objectives	
2.2 Addition of courses or programs	2
2.3 Change in legal status	3
2.4 Contract for direct instructional services	
2.5 Change in evidence	3
3. Update of Appendix E	3
3.1 Review of Appendix E	3
3.2 New categories of evidence being collected	4
4. Summary Data Tables for the 2009-2010 Academic Year	4

#### 1. Introduction

This annual report outlines the required elements indicated in the *TEAC Guide to Accreditation*. Included with this report is a spreadsheet of raw data from our assessment system for the 2009-2010 academic year. This report was reviewed and approved by the BYU Educator Preparation Program (EPP) Executive Committee on August 9, 2010.

#### 2. Report of Substantive Change

#### 2.1 Changes in published mission or objectives

There have been no changes in our published mission or objectives.

#### 2.2 Addition of courses or programs

CPSE 403 (Introduction to Special Education) was added to replace CPSE 400 (Exceptional Students: Principles of Collaboration). CPSE focuses on understanding the characteristics of students with various exceptionalities, both mild-moderate and severe disabilities. It also focuses on how students with specific disabilities learn, teaching basic strategies for meeting their educational needs.

Special Education has added a required course: CPSE 460 (Collaborating with School and Family), focusing on foundations for collaboration among school professionals, paraprofessionals, and families of children with disabilities.

The BYU College of Health and Human Performance was eliminated, and teaching programs formerly housed in that college have been moved to other units on campus. Below are the education programs affected and their new affiliations:

- Dance Education is now in the College of Fine Arts and Communications.
- Physical Education Teaching is now in the School of Education.
- School Health Education is now in the College of Life Sciences.

#### 2.3 Change in legal status

There has been no change in legal status.

#### 2.4 Contract for direct instructional services

There has been no contract for direct instructional services.

#### 2.5 Change in Evidence

We have discontinued the use of the PIBS as a formal instrument for gathering evidence of EPP student dispositions. There was general consensus among members of the EPP Executive Committee that the instrument was primarily useful as a way of identifying students who required counseling. Some programs are still using it informally for that purpose as we work towards developing a more comprehensive dispositions instrument.

We have added three new surveys to provide us with data on our EPP programs: a Senior Survey, an Alumni Survey, and an Employer Survey, each of which is described briefly below. We are in the process of analyzing our first returns from these surveys. Raw data are included on the spreadsheet, but formal analysis of the data with individual programs will not begin until fall 2010. All of these instruments have items that give us data concerning how well our program helps candidates to acquire knowledge and skills related to the INTASC standards. (See survey items in the data spreadsheet.)

- The Employer Survey is given to a sample of employers of our alumni who graduated between April 2006 and April 2009.
- The Alumni Survey is given to alumni who graduated between August 2008 and April 2009
- The Senior Survey is given to all seniors graduating December 2009 to April 2010

#### 3. Update of Appendix E

#### 3.1 Review of Appendix E

Items that have been updated in Appendix E are highlighted in yellow in the table found on pages 5-9 of this document. Below is a brief rationale for each change.

#### 10. Ratings of candidate dispositions

The EPP has been dissatisfied with the diagnostic value of the PIBS instrument compared to the cost of administering it to all candidates. Therefore we have eliminated the instrument and plan to develop another. The Candidate Disposition Scale (CDS) is still administered to the program candidates.

#### 11. Third-party rating of program candidates

In reviewing our response in the Inquiry Brief, we realized that we already have qualified non-BYU personnel rate every candidate who student teaches. This section was updated to represent that fact.

12. Ratings of in-service, clinical, and PDS teaching (post graduate)

The cost-benefit of the EBI turned out to be very low for the EPP due to various reasons including high cost, low return rate, and inadequate number of comparison institutions, so we developed our own Employer Survey.

#### 14. Rates of graduates' professional service activities

We determined that this information is not sufficiently relevant to our current EPP aims to warrant the cost of collecting the data. Therefore, we have moved this into the column labeled "not for future use."

#### 15. Evaluations of graduates by their own pupils

We have piloted some efforts to do this in elementary education; however it is not an effort that has been approved for all areas of the EPP. We have revised the text in the cell to reflect this.

#### 16. Alumni self-assessment of their accomplishments

We are now collecting data from an Alumni Survey that will give us feedback on how well the Educator Preparation Program helped alumni to acquire knowledge and skills related to the INTASC standards.

#### 18. Employers' evaluations of the program graduates

We are now collecting data from an Employer Survey by which employers rate our graduates on knowledge and skills related to the INTASC standards.

#### 3.2 New Categories of Evidence Being Collected

This year we have begun to collect data to support our claims concerning alumni and employers through the Alumni Survey and the Employer Survey. The BYU Office of Institutional Assessment collects the data from these surveys for us. We have just received the data, which will be analyzed and disaggregated by program in the fall, when all the faculty are on campus.

#### 4. Summary Data Tables for the 2009-2010 Academic Year

The raw data for the 2009-2010 academic year can be found on the accompanying spreadsheet. The summary data tables from these raw data are found on pages 10-29 of this document.

#### **Appendix E: Full Disclosure of Evidence**

Table E1 is an inventory of the evidence for measures and indicators for TEAC Quality Principle I

Table E1: Inventory of Evidence

Type of Evidence	Available		Not Available	
Note: Items under each category are examples. Programs may have more or different evidence.	In the brief Reasons for including the results in the brief Location in brief	Not in the brief Reasons for not including the results in the brief	For future use Reasons for including in future briefs	Not for future use Reasons for not including in future briefs
Grades				
1.Student grades and grade point averages at admission and graduation	Average Program GPA (See data spreadsheet)			
Scores on standardize				
2. Student scores on standardized content examinations	Praxis II content showing content knowledge (See data spreadsheet)			
3. Student scores on standardized pedagogy examinations				Not required by state until Year 3 of teaching

4. Student scores on admission tests			No admissions test required if already a
on admission tests			BYU student
5. Standardized scores and gains of the program graduates' own pupils			Value added studies indicate that it is almost impossible to attribute student learning to one teacher in one year. There are too many variables that influence student test scores.
6. Ratings of pre- admission dispositions	CDS (See summary data tables below and data spreadsheet)		
7. Ratings of portfolios of academic and clinical accomplishments	TWS (See summary data tables below and data spreadsheet)		
8. Ratings of knowledge of diverse and multicultural perspectives	CPAS, TWS, (See summary data tables below and data spreadsheet)		

9. Ratings of clinical practice by university	CPAS (See summary data tables below and data		
supervisor  10. Ratings of candidate	spreadsheet)  CDS		
dispositions	(See summary data tables below and data spreadsheet)		
11. Third-party rating of program candidates	Candidates are evaluated using the CPAS instrument by either a mentor teacher or school facilitator who is a full-time employee of the school district in which they have their student teaching or internship experience. (Average of scores is		
	in data tables and disaggregated scores are in the spreadsheet)		

12. Ratings of inservice, clinical, and PDS teaching (post graduate)	Employer Survey (See data spreadsheet)		
13. Ratings by cooperating teacher and college/university supervisors of practice teachers' work samples	TWS (See summary data tables below and data spreadsheet)		
14. Rates of graduates' professional service activities			We do not currently have plans to track this.
15. Evaluations of graduates by their own pupils		We are considering this option but do not currently have plans to track this.	
16. Alumni self-assessment of their accomplishments.	Alumni Survey (See data spreadsheet)		
17. Third-party professional recognition of graduates.			This is extremely difficult to track.

18. Employers' evaluations of the program's graduates.	Employer Survey (See data spreadsheet)		
19. Graduates' authoring of textbooks, curriculum materials, etc.			This is extremely difficult to track.
20. Graduates' own pupils' learning and accomplishment.			Value added studies indicate that it is almost impossible to attribute student learning to one teacher in one year. There are too many variables that influence student test scores.

#### **TEAC Summary Data Tables for the 2009-2010 Academic Year**

Table 1: TEAC Summary Table		
v	ducation	
200	9-2010	
	Fall 2009	Winter 2010
Enculturation for Democracy as assessed by CPAS:	5 6	
CPAS Principle 5: Learning Environment & Management	n=19	n=12
	4.42	4.17
	(.61)	(.72)
CPAS Principle 6: Communication	4.84 (.37)	4.50 (.52)
Access to Knowledge as assessed by CPAS 1, 3; TV	` /	
CPAS 1: Content Knowledge	4.84	4.83
orns iv content into though	(.37)	(.39)
CPAS Principle 3: Diversity	4.11	3.92
TWS 1: Contextual Factors	(.66) n=10	(.67)
1 WS 1: Contextual Factors	2.00	n=6 2.00
	(.00)	(.00)
TWS 5: Instructional Decision Making	2.00	2.00
	(.00)	(.00)
TWS 6: Analysis of Student Learning	2.00	2.00
CDS 3: Diversity	(.00) <b>n=10</b>	(.00) <b>n=7</b>
CDS D. Diversity	4.15	4.01
	(.36)	(.51)
Praxis II	Exam: 133	Exam: 133
Passing Score	<b>n=9</b> 159	<b>n=6</b> 159
Mean	174	183.5
St Dev	(6.56)	(4.68)
% Passing	100%	100%
Major GPA	n=10	n=7
major of A	3.54	3.66
	(.39)	(.21)
Nurturing Pedagogy as assessed by CPAS 2, 7, 8; T	WS 2, 3, 4; CDS 1	
CPAS 2: Learning & Development	4.42	4.17
CPAS 4: Instructional Strategies	(.61) 4.47	(.58) 4.33
CLAS 7. Instructional Strategies	(.61)	(.65)
CPAS 7: Planning	4.63	4.33
	(.60)	(.89)
CPAS 8: Assessment	4.58	4.08
TWS 2: Learning Goals and Objectives	(.51) 2.00	(.90) 2.00
1 775 21 Ecarining Goals and Objectives	(.00)	(.00)
TWS 3: Assessment Plan	2.00	2.00
TOMIC A. D. '. C. I. A. A.	(.00)	(.00)
TWS 4: Design for Instruction	2.00 (.00)	2.00 (.00)
Stewardship as assessed by CPAS 9, 10; TWS 7; CI		(.00)
CPAS 9: Reflective Practitioner	4.74	4.50
	(.45)	(.67)
CPAS 10: Professionalism and Interpersonal Relationships	4.42	4.50
TWC 7- Deflection and Salf Evaluation	(.61)	(.52)
TWS 7: Reflection and Self-Evaluation	2.00 (.00)	1.92 (.20)
CDS 1: Locus of Control	3.66	3.67
	(.24)	(.22)
CDS 2: Aspirations	3.45	3.49
	(.23)	(.43)

Table 2: TEAC Summary Table		
	Education	
200	9-2010	
	Fall 2009	Winter 2010
Enculturation for Democracy as assessed by CPAS 5	5, 6	
CPAS Principle 5: Learning Environment and Management	n=2	n=32
	4.00	3.81
CPAS Principle 6: Communication	(.00) 5.00	(.74) 4.44
CrAs rinciple of Communication	(.00)	(.62)
Access to Knowledge as assessed by CPAS 1, 3; TW	, ,	
CPAS 1: Content Knowledge	4.50	4.09
	(.71)	(.69)
CPAS Principle 3: Diversity	4.50	3.91
TWS 1: Contextual Factors	(.71) <b>n=1</b>	(.69) No data recorded
1 WS 1. Contextual Pactors	2.00	No data recorded
ΓWS 5: Instructional Decision Making	2.00	"
TWS 6: Analysis of Student Learning	2.00	"
i vis v. Analysis vi student Learning	2.00	
CDS 3: Diversity	n=1	n=16
	3.69	3.92
	 E 225	(.61)
Praxis II	Exam: 235 n=1	Exam: 235 n=15
Passing Score	149	149
Mean	175	178.4
St Dev		(7.30)
% Passing	100%	100% n=16
Major GPA	n=1 3.89	3.31
		(.35)
Nurturing Pedagogy as assessed by CPAS 2, 7, 8; TV	WS 2, 3, 4; CDS 1	
CPAS 2: Learning & Development	4.50	3.94
	(.71)	(.62)
CPAS 4: Instructional Strategies	5.00	4.13 (.79)
CPAS 7: Planning	(.00) 4.50	4.34
	(.71)	(.83)
CPAS 8: Assessment	4.50	4.03
TWS 2. Learning Cools and Objectives	(.71) 2.00	(.74)
TWS 2: Learning Goals and Objectives	2.00	No data recorded
TWS 3: Assessment Plan	1.60	44
ΓWS 4: Design for Instruction	2.00	
Stewardship as assessed by CPAS 9, 10; TWS 7; CD	 OS 2	
CPAS 9: Reflective Practitioner	4.50	4.50
	(.71)	(.84)
CPAS 10: Professionalism and Interpersonal Relationships	4.50	4.47
TWO 7. D. G	(.71)	(.76)
TWS 7: Reflection and Self-Evaluation	2.00	No data recorded
CDS 1: Locus of Control	3.57	3.68
		(.30)
CDS 2: Aspirations	3.13	3.51
		(.29)

Table 3: TEAC Summary Table			
Dance Education			
2009-	2010		
	Fall 2009	Winter 2010	
Enculturation for Democracy as assessed by CPAS 5,	6		
CPAS Principle 5: Learning Environment and Management	No fall 2009 student teachers	n=17	
		4.24	
CPAS Principle 6: Communication	"	(.56) 4.24	
		(.75)	
Access to Knowledge as assessed by CPAS 1, 3; TWS			
CPAS 1: Content Knowledge	No fall 2009 student teachers	4.94	
CPAS Principle 3: Diversity	"	(.24) 4.24	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		(.56)	
TWS 1: Contextual Factors	"	<b>n=9</b> 1.82	
		(.24)	
TWS 5: Instructional Decision Making	"	2.00	
TWS 6: Analysis of Student Learning	"	(.00) 1.78	
1 WS 0. Analysis of Student Learning		(.14)	
CDS 3: Diversity	"	n=7	
		4.33	
Praxis II	"	(.32) No PRAXIS data	
Passing Score			
Mean			
St Dev			
% Passing	"		
Major GPA		n=9	
		3.74	
Nurturing Pedagogy as assessed by CPAS 2, 7, 8; TW	S 2 3 4: CDS 1	(.15)	
CPAS 2: Learning and Development	No fall 2009 student teachers	4.24	
or is at Edurating and Development		(.56)	
CPAS 4: Instructional Strategies	"	4.47	
CPAS 7: Planning	"	(.51) 4.71	
		(.47)	
CPAS 8: Assessment	"	4.06	
TWS 2: Learning Goals and Objectives	"	(.56) 1.97	
		(.08)	
TWS 3: Assessment Plan	"	1.62 (.23)	
TWS 4: Design for Instruction	٠.	1.82	
		(.23)	
Stewardship as assessed by CPAS 9, 10; TWS 7; CDS		100	
CPAS 9: Reflective Practitioner	No fall 2009 student teachers	4.88 (.33)	
CPAS 10: Professionalism and Interpersonal Relationships	"	4.88	
TW/S 7. Deflection and Self-Evel4	"	(.33)	
TWS 7: Reflection and Self-Evaluation		1.83 (.18)	
CDS 1: Locus of Control	"	3.92	
CDS 2: Asnirations	"	(.11)	
CDS 2: Aspirations		3.55 (.21)	
	I.	(.21)	

Table 4: TEAC Summary Table			
DUAL			
2009-	2010		
	Fall 2009	Winter 2010	
Enculturation for Democracy as assessed by CPAS 5,	6		
CPAS Principle 5: Learning Environment and Management	n=11	n=33	
	4.27	4.36	
CPAS Principle 6: Communication	(.65) 4.36	(.86) 4.42	
Cras rinicipie of Communication	(.67)	(.71)	
Access to Knowledge as assessed by CPAS 1, 3; TWS			
CPAS 1: Content Knowledge	4.64	4.42	
-	(.51)	(.75)	
CPAS Principle 3: Diversity	3.91	4.15	
TWS 1: Contextual Factors	(.54) n=4	(.76) n=17	
1 W.S. 1. Contextual Lactors	1.84	1.86	
	(.19)	(.24)	
TWS 5: Instructional Decision Making	2.00	1.88	
	(.00)	(.33)	
TWS 6: Analysis of Student Learning	1.96 (.09)	1.66 (.40)	
CDS 3: Diversity	n=3	n=19	
25 <b>0.</b> 21. 0. 0. 0.	4.77	4.20	
	(.04)	(.42)	
Praxis II	Exam: 14	Exam: 14	
Passing Score	n=7 150	n=17 150	
Mean	176.14	182.65	
St Dev	(13.08)	(7.55)	
% Passing	100%	100%	
	n=7	n=17	
Major GPA	3.67 (.32)	3.78 (.19)	
Nurturing Pedagogy as assessed by CPAS 2, 7, 8; TW		(.17)	
CPAS 2: Learning and Development	4.36	4.45	
2. Ecarning and Development	(.67)	(.71)	
CPAS 4: Instructional Strategies	4.55	4.48	
	(.52)	(.67)	
CPAS 7: Planning	4.82 (.41)	4.61	
CPAS 8: Assessment	4.09	(.66) 4.27	
	(.70)	(.67)	
TWS 2: Learning Goals and Objectives	2.00	1.69	
TIME 2 A A DI	(.00)	(.52)	
TWS 3: Assessment Plan	1.95 (.10)	1.65 (.30)	
TWS 4: Design for Instruction	1.85	1.72	
	(.10)	(.29)	
Stewardship as assessed by CPAS 9, 10; TWS 7; CDS	2		
CPAS 9: Reflective Practitioner	4.73	4.76	
CDAC 10 D C ' L' LI LI L	(.47)	(.56)	
CPAS 10: Professionalism and Interpersonal Relationships	5.00 (.00)	4.85 (.36)	
TWS 7: Reflection and Self-Evaluation	1.94	1.85	
	(.13)	(.29)	
CDS 1: Locus of Control	3.86	3.79	
CDC 2. Assistant	(.71)	(.21)	
CDS 2: Aspirations	3.75 (.06)	3.46 (.25)	

Table 5: TEAC Summary Ta	able
--------------------------	------

# Early Childhood Education 2009-2010

	Fall 2009	Winter 2010				
Enculturation for Democracy as assessed by CPAS 5,	6					
CPAS Principle 5: Learning Environment and Management	n=12	n=46				
	4.25	4.57				
	(.45)	(.54)				
CPAS Principle 6: Communication	4.42	4.52				
	(.52)	(.51)				
Access to Knowledge as assessed by CPAS 1, 3; TWS 1, 5, 6; CDS 3; Praxis II; Major GPA						
CPAS 1: Content Knowledge	4.58	4.87				
on contract to	(.52)	(.40)				
CPAS Principle 3: Diversity	4.08	4.20				
TWS 1: Contextual Factors	(.29) n=8	(.45) n=23				
1 WS 1: Contextual Factors	1.71	1.81				
	(.28)	(.24)				
TWS 5: Instructional Decision Making	1.81	1.70				
8	(.37)	(.49)				
TWS 6: Analysis of Student Learning	1.83	1.75				
-	(.32)	(.27)				
CDS 3: Diversity	n=8	n=23				
	4.16	4.19				
	(.40)	(.50)				
Praxis II	Exam: 14	Exam: 14				
Passing Coops	n=7 150	n=23 150				
Passing Score Mean	173.43	174.17				
St Dev	(10.37)	(8.88)				
% Passing	100%	100%				
, v 1 1353g	n=8	n=23				
Major GPA	3.73	3.74				
	(.18)	(.17)				
Nurturing Pedagogy as assessed by CPAS 2, 7, 8; TW	'S 2, 3, 4; CDS 1					
CPAS 2: Learning and Development	4.58	4.57				
	(.52)	(.50)				
CPAS 4: Instructional Strategies	4.25	4.83				
	(.62)	(.38)				
CPAS 7: Planning	4.67	4.87				
CPAS 8: Assessment	(.49) 4.17	(.40) 4.39				
CrAs 6. Assessment	(.39)	(.58)				
TWS 2: Learning Goals and Objectives	1.81	1.89				
1 110 21 Dearming Cours and Cojecutes	(.29)	(.15)				
TWS 3: Assessment Plan	1.75	1.68				
	(.26)	(.32)				
TWS 4: Design for Instruction	1.68	1.58				
	(.26)	(.40)				
Stewardship as assessed by CPAS 9, 10; TWS 7; CDS						
CPAS 9: Reflective Practitioner	4.92	4.93				
	(.29)	(.25)				
CPAS 10: Professionalism and Interpersonal Relationships	4.92	4.78				
TWG 7. D. flootion and Colf Family 42.	(.29)	(.42)				
TWS 7: Reflection and Self-Evaluation	1.81	1.72				
CDS 1: Locus of Control	(.29) 3.88	(.36) 3.81				
CDS 1. LUCUS OF COURT OF	0.14	(.14)				
CDS 2: Aspirations	3.46	3.55				
	(.31)	(.28)				
	(.51)	(.20)				

Table 6: TEAC Summary Table

# Elementary Education 2009-2010

	Fall 2009	Winter 2010		
Enculturation for Democracy as assessed by CPAS 5, 6				
CPAS Principle 5: Learning Environment and Management	n=94	n=316		
	4.20	4.47		
and not to a second	(.68)	(.63)		
CPAS Principle 6: Communication	4.31	4.49		
Access to Knowledge as assessed by CPAS 1, 3; TWS	(.69)	(.61)		
CPAS 1: Content Knowledge	4.23	4.61		
CrAS 1: Content Knowledge	(.71)	(.58)		
CPAS Principle 3: Diversity	3.80	4.03		
r · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(.74)	(.64)		
TWS 1: Contextual Factors	n=47	n=158		
	1.77	1.79		
TWO F I A A I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	(.25)	(.29)		
TWS 5: Instructional Decision Making	1.82	1.81		
TWS 6: Analysis of Student Learning	(.37) 1.82	(.37) 1.81		
1 W 5 0. Analysis of Student Learning	(.24)	(.26)		
CDS 3: Diversity	n=50	n=160		
•	4.33	4.22		
	(.46)	(.45)		
Praxis II	Exam: 14	Exam: 14		
	n=46	n=156		
Passing Score Mean	150 176.74	150 177.17		
St Dev	(12.33)	(12.46)		
% Passing	100%	99%		
/ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	n=47	n=161		
Major GPA	3.70	3.75		
	(.20)	(.20)		
Nurturing Pedagogy as assessed by CPAS 2, 7, 8; TWS	1			
CPAS 2: Learning and Development	4.19	4.45		
CDACA. Instance of the later of the control of the	(.69)	(.60)		
CPAS 4: Instructional Strategies	4.22 (.62)	4.56 (.59)		
CPAS 7: Planning	4.39	4.57		
	(.59)	(.59)		
CPAS 8: Assessment	4.03	4.24		
	(.66)	(.65)		
TWS 2: Learning Goals and Objectives	1.85	1.84		
TWS 3: Assessment Plan	(.18) 1.71	(.24) 1.69		
1 WS 3. Assessment Flan	(.25)	(.30)		
TWS 4: Design for Instruction	1.75	1.73		
	(.23)	(.29)		
Stewardship as assessed by CPAS 9, 10; TWS 7; CDS 2				
CPAS 9: Reflective Practitioner	4.63	4.74		
CD1G10 D A A W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W	(.59)	(.48)		
CPAS 10: Professionalism and Interpersonal Relationships	4.60	4.77		
TWS 7: Reflection and Self Evaluation	(.63) 1.73	(.48) 1.81		
1 W.S. 7. Reflection and Sen Evaluation	(.30)	(.25)		
CDS 1: Locus of Control	3.84	3.82		
	(.20)	(.23)		
CDS 2: Aspirations	3.57	3.55		
	(.35)	(.33)		

Table 7: TEAC Summary Table

# English Teaching 2009-2010

	Fall 2009	Winter 2010	
Enculturation for Democracy as assessed by CPAS 5, 6			
CPAS Principle 5: Learning Environment and Management	n=13	n=76	
	4.23	4.17	
CDAC Deiesiels (C. Communication	(.60)	(.66)	
CPAS Principle 6: Communication	4.62 (.51)	4.54 (.58)	
Access to Knowledge as assessed by CPAS 1, 3; TWS			
CPAS 1: Content Knowledge	4.54	4.74	
	(.52)	(.47)	
CPAS Principle 3: Diversity	4.38	4.11	
	(.51)	(.64)	
TWS 1: Contextual Factors	n=7	n=37	
	2.00	2.00	
TWO F I A C ID '' MI'	(.00)	(.00)	
TWS 5: Instructional Decision Making	2.00	2.00	
TWS 6: Analysis of Student Learning	(.00) 2.00	(.00) 2.00	
1 11 5 0. Analysis of Student Leafilling	(.00)	(.00)	
CDS 3: Diversity	n=7	n=38	
obs v. biveisity	4.33	4.39	
	(.32)	(.46)	
Praxis II	Exam: 41	Exam: 41	
	n=7	n=38	
Passing Score	168	168	
Mean	189	187.42	
St Dev	(7.46)	(8.77)	
% Passing	100%	100%	
Major GPA	n=7 3.72	n=38 3.67	
Major Gr A	(.16)	(.22)	
Nurturing Pedagogy as assessed by CPAS 2, 7, 8; TWS		(.22)	
CPAS 2: Learning and Development	4.54	4.30	
	(.52)	(.65)	
CPAS 4: Instructional Strategies	4.85	4.75	
	(.38)	(.52)	
CPAS 7: Planning	4.69	4.63	
CDACO A	(.48)	(.56)	
CPAS 8: Assessment	4.15 (.56)	4.28 (.64)	
TWS 2: Learning Goals and Objectives	2.00	2.00	
1 W 5 2. Learning Goals and Objectives	(.00)	(.00)	
TWS 3: Assessment Plan	2.00	2.00	
	(.00)	(.00)	
TWS 4: Design for Instruction	2.00	2.00	
	(.00)	(.00)	
Stewardship as assessed by CPAS 9, 10; TWS 7; CDS			
CPAS 9: Reflective Practitioner	4.77	4.61	
	(.44)	(.59)	
CPAS 10: Professionalism and Interpersonal Relationships	4.54	4.57	
TWC 7. D. flording and Calf Freeholding	(.66)	(.62)	
TWS 7: Reflection and Self-Evaluation	2.00 (.00)	2.00 (.00)	
CDS 1: Locus of Control	3.83	3.85	
CDS II LOCUS OF CORRECT	(.13)	(.17)	
CDS 2: Aspirations	3.63	3.72	
	(.25)	(.28)	

Table 8: TEAC Summary Table	•
F	amily and Consumer Sciences Education

2009-2010 Fall 2009 Winter 2010 Enculturation for Democracy as assessed by CPAS 5, 6 CPAS Principle 5: Learning Environment and Management n=12 n=24 4.00 4.21 (.43)(.93)**CPAS Principle 6: Communication** 4.67 4.63 (.49)(.50)Access to Knowledge as assessed by CPAS 1, 3; TWS 1, 5, 6; CDS 3; Praxis II; Major GPA **CPAS 1: Content Knowledge** 4.50 (.67)(.62)**CPAS Principle 3: Diversity** 3.83 4.00 (.84)(.72)**TWS 1: Contextual Factors** n=6 n=14 1.91 1.67 (.42)(.15)TWS 5: Instructional Decision Making 1.92 1.86 (.20)(.31)TWS 6: Analysis of Student Learning 1.31 1.69 (.29)(.23)**CDS 3: Diversity** n=6 n=15 3.93 4.01 (.19)(.55)Praxis II Exam: 121 Exam: 121 n=13n=6 **Passing Score** 159 159 Mean 174 172.08 St Dev (8.00)(6.92)% Passing 100% 100% n=6 n=16 Major GPA 3.40 3.56 (.29)(.31)Nurturing Pedagogy as assessed by CPAS 2, 7, 8; TWS 2, 3, 4; CDS 1 **CPAS 2: Learning and Development** 4.46 4.08 (.67)(.72)**CPAS 4: Instructional Strategies** 4.42 4.38 (.67)(.71)**CPAS 7: Planning** 4.22 4.57 (.99)(.79)**CPAS 8: Assessment** 4.00 4.17 (.60)(.82)TWS 2: Learning Goals and Objectives 1.92 1.95 (.20)(.20)TWS 3: Assessment Plan 1.97 1.80 (80.)(.28)TWS 4: Design for Instruction 1.93 1.77 (.16)(.28)Stewardship as assessed by CPAS 9, 10; TWS 7; CDS 2 **CPAS 9: Reflective Practitioner** 4.33 4.54 (.78)(.78)

4.50

(.67)

1.75

(.16)

3.54

(.09)

3.27

(.21)

4.58

(.65)

1.39

(.45)

3.65

(.27)

3.41

(.45)

**CPAS 10: Professionalism and Interpersonal Relationships** 

TWS 7: Reflection and Self-Evaluation

**CDS 1: Locus of Control** 

**CDS 2: Aspirations** 

Table 9: TEAC Summary Table			
French Teaching			
2009-2010			
	Fall 2009	Winter 2010	
Enculturation for Democracy as assessed by CPAS 5, 6	5		
CPAS Principle 5: Learning Environment and Management	n=2	n=2	
	4.50 (.71)	4.50 (.71)	
CPAS Principle 6: Communication	4.50	4.50	
A 4 W 1 1 1 11 CDAC 1 2 TWO	(.71)	(.71)	
Access to Knowledge as assessed by CPAS 1, 3; TWS  CPAS 1: Content Knowledge	1, 5, 6; CDS 3; Praxis II; M	lajor GPA 4.00	
CFAS 1: Content Knowledge	(.00)	(.00)	
CPAS Principle 3: Diversity	4.50	4.50	
TWS 1: Contextual Factors	(.71) <b>n=1</b>	(.71) <b>n=1</b>	
1 W.S. 1. Contextual Pactors	2.00	2.00	
TW0 7 1			
TWS 5: Instructional Decision Making	2.00	2.00	
TWS 6: Analysis of Student Learning	2.00	2.00	
CDC 2. Dimento			
CDS 3: Diversity	n=1 3.86	n=1 3.75	
Praxis II	Exam: 173 n=1	Exam: 173 n=1	
Passing Score	161	161	
Mean	198	168	
St Dev % Passing	100%	100%	
, v 1 ussing	n=1	n=1	
Major GPA	3.51	3.75	
Nurturing Pedagogy as assessed by CPAS 2, 7, 8; TWS 2, 3, 4; CDS 1			
CPAS 2: Learning and Development	5.00	5.00	
	(.00)	(.00)	
CPAS 4: Instructional Strategies	5.00 (.00)	5.00 (.00)	
CPAS 7: Planning	5.00	5.00	
GD 100	(.00)	(.00)	
CPAS 8: Assessment	4.50 (.71)	4.00 (.00)	
TWS 2: Learning Goals and Objectives	2.00	2.00	
TWS 3: Assessment Plan	1.80	2.00	
TWS 4: Design for Instruction	2.00	2.00	
Stewardship as assessed by CPAS 9, 10; TWS 7; CDS			
CPAS 9: Reflective Practitioner	5.00	5.00	
CPAS 10: Professionalism and Interpersonal Relationships	(.00) 4.50	(.00) 5.00	
C1730 10. 11010331011a113111 and 11101 personal relationships	(.71)	(.00)	
TWS 7: Reflection and Self-Evaluation	2.00	2.00	
CDS 1: Locus of Control	3.93	3.64	
CDS 2: Aspirations	3.31	3.13	
CDS 2. Aspir auviis	3.31	3.13	

Table 10: TEAC Summary Table		
German		
2009-2010		
	Fall 2009	Winter 2010
Enculturation for Democracy as assessed by CPAS 5,	6	
CPAS Principle 5: Learning Environment & Management	No fall 2009 student teachers	n=4
		4.00
CPAS Principle 6: Communication	cc	(.82) 4.50
CI AS I Inicipie o. Communication		(.58)
Access to Knowledge as assessed by CPAS 1, 3, TWS	1, 5, 6, CDS 3, Praxis II, M	
CPAS 1: Content Knowledge	No fall 2009 student teachers	5.00
CD4CD 1 1 1 2 D1 14	"	(.00)
CPAS Principle 3: Diversity		4.25 (.50)
TWS 1: Contextual Factors	"	n=2
		2.00
		(.00)
TWS 5: Instructional Decision Making		1.75
TWS 6: Analysis of Student Learning	٠	(.35) 1.75
1 W 5 OV Timely 515 Of State of Education		(.35)
CDS 3: Diversity	cc	n=2
		4.19
Praxis II	"	(.44) <b>Exam: 181</b>
11 dais 11		n=2
Passing Score		153
Mean G4 Days		196
St Dev % Passing		(5.66) 100%
/0 1 assing	دد	n=2
Major GPA		3.87
N		(.01)
Nurturing Pedagogy as assessed by CPAS 2, 7, 8; TW		1.05
CPAS 2: Learning and Development	No fall 2009 student teachers	4.25 (.50)
CPAS 4: Instructional Strategies	"	4.50
· ·		(.58)
CPAS 7: Planning	"	4.50
CPAS 8: Assessment	"	(.58) 4.25
CIAS 6. Assessment		(.50)
TWS 2: Learning Goals and Objectives	"	2.00
TING 2 A PI	44	(.00)
TWS 3: Assessment Plan		2.00 (.00)
TWS 4: Design for Instruction	٠.	1.75
		(.35)
Stewardship as assessed by CPAS 9, 10; TWS 7; CDS		
CPAS 9: Reflective Practitioner	No fall 2009 student teachers	4.75
CPAS 10: Professionalism and Interpersonal Relationships	44	(.50) 4.75
2110 10. 1 rotessionansin and interpersonal relationships		(.50)
TWS 7: Reflection and Self-Evaluation	دد	1.75
CDS 1. Leave of Control	"	(.35)
CDS 1: Locus of Control		3.79 (.10)
CDS 2: Aspirations	"	3.47
•		(.04)

Table 11: TEAC Summary Table

### Health Education 2009-2010

	Fall 2009	Winter 2010
Enculturation for Democracy as assessed by CPAS 5,	6	I
CPAS Principle 5: Learning Environment and Management	n=8	n=19
1	4.75	4.47
	(.46)	(.61)
CPAS Principle 6: Communication	4.88	4.68
	(.35)	(.48)
Access to Knowledge as assessed by CPAS 1, 3; TWS		
CPAS 1: Content Knowledge	4.88	4.84
	(.35)	(.37)
CPAS Principle 3: Diversity	4.13	4.21
TWS 1: Contextual Factors	(.64) n=4	(.71) n=10
1 WS 1: Contextual Factors	2.00	1.97
	(.00)	(.11)
TWS 5: Instructional Decision Making	2.00	1.65
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(.00)	(.41)
TWS 6: Analysis of Student Learning	2.00	1.95
·	(.00)	(.11)
CDS 3: Diversity	n=3	n=9
	3.96	3.57
	(.73)	(.41)
Praxis II	Exam: 550	Exam: 550
Descine Com	n=3	n=9
Passing Score Mean	670 723.33	670 738.89
St Dev	(11.54)	(26.19)
% Passing	100%	100%
, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	n=4	n=11
Major GPA	3.42	3.55
	(.18)	(.32)
Nurturing Pedagogy as assessed by CPAS 2, 7, 8; TW	S 2, 3, 4; CDS 1	
CPAS 2: Learning and Development	4.63	4.53
	(.74)	(.61)
CPAS 4: Instructional Strategies	4.88	4.74
CD4C 7 DI	(.35)	(.45)
CPAS 7: Planning	4.88	4.68 (.48)
CPAS 8: Assessment	(.35) 4.75	4.47
C1715 0. 7135c35ment	(.71)	(.70)
TWS 2: Learning Goals and Objectives	2.00	1.85
•	(.00)	(.17)
TWS 3: Assessment Plan	2.00	1.84
	(.00)	(.16)
TWS 4: Design for Instruction	2.00	1.82
C. 11: CDAGO 10 TIVIC 7 CDG	(.00)	(.22)
Stewardship as assessed by CPAS 9, 10; TWS 7; CDS		1=:
CPAS 9: Reflective Practitioner	4.88	4.74
CPAS 10: Professionalism and Interpersonal Relationships	(.35)	(.45)
CLAS 10: Frotessionalism and interpersonal Relationships	5.00 (.00)	4.74 (.45)
TWS 7: Reflection and Self-Evaluation	2.00	1.80
2 Activetion and Sen Distinguion	(.00)	(.26)
CDS 1: Locus of Control	3.62	3.84
	(.08)	(.09)
CDS 2: Aspirations	(.00)	(.07)
CDS 2. Aspir ations	3.42	3.57

Table 12: TEAC Summary Table		
Mathematics Education		
2009-2010		
	Fall 2009	Winter 2010
Enculturation for Democracy as assessed by CPAS 5,	5	
CPAS Principle 5: Learning Environment and Management	n=28	n=47
	4.11 (.74)	4.2 (.63)
CPAS Principle 6: Communication	4.32	4.34
	(.67)	(.70)
Access to Knowledge as assessed by CPAS 1, 3; TWS		
CPAS 1: Content Knowledge	4.50	4.55
CPAS Principle 3: Diversity	(.75) 3.96	(.50) 4.02
•	(.76)	(.82)
TWS 1: Contextual Factors	n=12 1.89	n=24
	(.22)	1.67 (.41)
TWS 5: Instructional Decision Making	1.83	1.99
TOWIG C. A. D. C. C. L. (X	(.39)	(.07)
TWS 6: Analysis of Student Learning	1.82 (.33)	1.78 (.28)
CDS 3: Diversity	n=14	n=24
·	3.72	4.03
Praxis II	(.56) <b>Exam: 61</b>	(.55) Evanu 61
rraxis II	n=14	Exam: 61 n=24
Passing Score	138	138
Mean St Day	171.64	169.42
St Dev % Passing	(15.26) 100%	(16.61) 96%
,	n=14	n=24
Major GPA	3.61	3.36
Nurturing Pedagogy as assessed by CPAS 2, 7, 8; TW	(.34) S 2 3 4: CDS 1	(.40)
CPAS 2: Learning and Development	4.07	4.19
21 Dearling and Development	(.77)	(.68)
CPAS 4: Instructional Strategies	4.39	4.47
CPAS 7: Planning	(.69) 4.25	(.55) 4.55
Cl A5 7. Hanning	(.65)	(.54)
CPAS 8: Assessment	4.36	4.23
TWS 2: Learning Goals and Objectives	(.62) 1.92	(.56) 1.89
1 W.5 2. Ecarming Goals and Objectives	(.16)	(.22)
TWS 3: Assessment Plan	1.92	1.89
TWS 4: Design for Instruction	(.23) 1.90	(.21) 1.96
1 W 5 4. Design for firstruction	(.20)	(.10)
Stewardship as assessed by CPAS 9, 10; TWS 7; CDS		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
CPAS 9: Reflective Practitioner	4.68	4.57
CDAC 10. Description and Ind	(.61)	(.58)
CPAS 10: Professionalism and Interpersonal Relationships	4.36 (.78)	4.70 (.51)
TWS 7: Reflection and Self-Evaluation	1.83	1.92
CDC 4 A C A C A C	(.33)	(.18)
CDS 1: Locus of Control	3.63 (.30)	3.65 (.22)
CDS 2: Aspirations	3.32	3.29
•	(.33)	(.37)

Table 13: TEAC Summary Table		
Music Education		
2009-2010		
	Fall 2009	Winter 2010
Enculturation for Democracy as assessed by CPAS 5,	6	
CPAS Principle 5: Learning Environment and Management	n=6	n=26
	4.33	4.00
CPAS Principle 6: Communication	(.82) 4.00	.693 4.35
•	(.63)	(.689)
Access to Knowledge as assessed by CPAS 1, 3; TWS	1, 5, 6; CDS 3; Praxis II; M	lajor GPA
CPAS 1: Content Knowledge	4.50	4.50
CPAS Principle 3: Diversity	(.84) 3.83	(.71) 4.23
CITIS IT Melphe O. Diversity	(.41)	(.65)
TWS 1: Contextual Factors	n=2	n=11
	2.00 (.00)	2.00 (.00)
TWS 5: Instructional Decision Making	2.00	2.00
2	(00.)	(.00)
TWS 6: Analysis of Student Learning	2.00	2.00
CDS 3: Diversity	(.00) n=3	(.00) n=13
,	4.08	3.67
р . и	(1.10)	(.44)
Praxis II	Exam: 113 n=2	Exam: 113 n=13
Passing Score	156	156
Mean	178	178.38
St Dev % Passing	(4.24) 100%	(7.86) 100%
70 1 assing	n=3	n=14
Major GPA	3.84	3.54
Number of Design of Second Sec	(.05)	(.39)
Nurturing Pedagogy as assessed by CPAS 2, 7, 8; TW	8 2, 3, 4, CDS 1 4.17	4.19
CLAS 2. Learning and Development	(.75)	(.63)
CPAS 4: Instructional Strategies	4.17	4.31
CPAS 7: Planning	(.75)	(.74) 4.31
Cras /: Flamming	4.67 (.52)	(.74)
CPAS 8: Assessment	4.00	4.23
TWC 2. I coming Cook and Objections	(.63) 2.00	(.65)
TWS 2: Learning Goals and Objectives	(.00)	2.00 (.00)
TWS 3: Assessment Plan	2.00	1.93
TWC 4. Design for Landau disc	(.00)	(.16)
TWS 4: Design for Instruction	2.00 (.00)	1.89 (.21)
Stewardship as assessed by CPAS 9, 10; TWS 7; CDS		\(\frac{1}{2}\)
CPAS 9: Reflective Practitioner	4.83	4.50
CD4C40 D C 1 P 17	(.41)	(.81)
CPAS 10: Professionalism and Interpersonal Relationships	4.67 (.82)	4.38 (.70)
TWS 7: Reflection and Self-Evaluation	2.00	1.98
	(.00)	(.08)
CDS 1: Locus of Control	3.64 (.50)	3.70 (.19)
CDS 2: Aspirations	3.5	3.24
•	(.56)	(.36)

Table 14: TEAC Summary Table

### Physical Education 2009-2010

2007	F 11 2000	W 2010
	Fall 2009	Winter 2010
Enculturation for Democracy as assessed by CPAS 5	, 6	
CPAS Principle 5: Learning Environment and Management	n=20	n=14
	4.25	4.50
	(.85)	(.52)
CPAS Principle 6: Communication	4.30	4.43
	(.57)	(.51)
Access to Knowledge as assessed by CPAS 1, 3; TW	S 1, 5, 6; CDS 3; Praxis II; M	Iajor GPA
CPAS 1: Content Knowledge	4.40	4.86
	(.68)	(.36)
CPAS Principle 3: Diversity	4.10	4.00
	(.79)	(.39)
TWS 1: Contextual Factors	n=8	n=7
	2.00	2.00
TIME THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CON	(.00)	(.00)
TWS 5: Instructional Decision Making	1.75	1.79
TWS 6: Analysis of Student Learning	(.38) 1.94	(.39) 1.98
1 WO V. Analysis of Student Leaf filling	(.18)	(.06)
CDS 3: Diversity	n=10	n=7
CDS 0. Diversity	4.35	3.89
	(.29)	(.88)
Praxis II	Exam: 91	Exam: 91
	n=10	n=6
Passing Score	152	152
Mean	162	166.67
St Dev	(5.77)	(3.78)
% Passing	100%	100%
Maior CDA	n=10	n=7
Major GPA	3.53 (.27)	3.60 (.20)
Number of Dedocates of account by CDAC 2. 7. 9. TU	1 1	(.20)
Nurturing Pedagogy as assessed by CPAS 2, 7, 8; TV		1.26
CPAS 2: Learning and Development	4.35	4.36
CPAS 4: Instructional Strategies	(.59) 4.30	(.50) 4.71
CI AS 4. Instructional Strategies	(.57)	(.47)
CPAS 7: Planning	4.55	4.79
	(.76)	(.43)
CPAS 8: Assessment	4.45	4.21
	(.61)	(.43)
TWS 2: Learning Goals and Objectives	1.94	1.82
	(.18)	(.19)
TWS 3: Assessment Plan	1.58	1.83
TWC 4. Daring for Instruction	(.29)	(.14)
TWS 4: Design for Instruction	1.75 (.14)	1.69 (.23)
Ctownedship or assessed by CDACO 10, TWC 7, CD		(.23)
Stewardship as assessed by CPAS 9, 10; TWS 7; CD		4.71
CPAS 9: Reflective Practitioner	4.45	4.71
CDAS 10. Professionalism and Internassonal Delationships	(.83) 4.80	(.47) 4.79
CPAS 10: Professionalism and Interpersonal Relationships	(.41)	(.43)
TWS 7: Reflection and Self-Evaluation	2.00	2.00
Activetion and Son Distinguism	(.00)	(.00)
CDS 1: Locus of Control	3.96	3.83
	(.05)	(.15)
CDS 2: Aspirations	3.72	3.55
•	(.21)	(.29)
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Table 15: TEAC Summary Table

#### Physical Science 2009-2010

	Fall 2009	Winter 2010
Encylproption for Domoney 11. CDACC		W III.01 2010
Enculturation for Democracy as assessed by CPAS 5,		
CPAS Principle 5: Learning Environment and Management	n=6	n=24
	3.67 (.52)	4.13 (.74)
CPAS Principle 6: Communication	4.17	4.33
C1745 1 tinciple of Communication	(.98)	(.64)
Access to Knowledge as assessed by CPAS 1, 3; TWS		
CPAS 1: Content Knowledge	4.17	4.29
CIAS I. Content knowledge	(.75)	(.75)
CPAS Principle 3: Diversity	3.67	4.17
	(.52)	(.64)
TWS 1: Contextual Factors	n=3	n=12
	1.78	1.79
	(.39)	(.31)
TWS 5: Instructional Decision Making	1.83	1.92
TWS 6: Analysis of Student Learning	(.29) 1.89	(.19) 1.96
1 WS 0. Alianysis of Student Learning	(.19)	(.10)
CDS 3: Diversity	n=3	n=12
CDS C. D. C. SN,	3.83	3.98
	(.40)	(.43)
	Exam: 265	Exam: 481, 571, 265, 245
Praxis II	n=4	n=5, n=1, n=1, n=2
Passing Score	136	150, 153, 151, 151
Mean	175	178.2, 193, 160, 183.5
St Dev	(13.27)	(11.56), (.00), (.00), (7.78) 100%, 100%, 100%, 100%
% Passing	100%	100%, 100%, 100%, 100%
	n=4	n=11
Major GPA	3.59	3.44
11 CD C C C C C	(.08)	(.26)
Nurturing Pedagogy as assessed by CPAS 2, 7, 8; TWS		
CPAS 2: Learning & Development	3.83	4.17
CPAS 4: Instructional Strategies	(.75) 4.00	(.64) 4.46
CFAS 4. This incubinal strategies	(1.10)	(.66)
CPAS 7: Planning	3.50	4.48
	(.84)	(.67)
CPAS 8: Assessment	3.50	4.13
	(.55)	(.54)
TWS 2: Learning Goals and Objectives	2.00	1.92
TW/C 2. A Pl		(.16)
	(.00)	* *
TWS 3: Assessment Plan	1.73	1.63
	1.73 (.23)	1.63 (.50)
TWS 4: Design for Instruction	1.73	1.63
TWS 4: Design for Instruction	1.73 (.23) 1.93 (.12)	1.63 (.50) 1.90
	1.73 (.23) 1.93 (.12)	1.63 (.50) 1.90
TWS 4: Design for Instruction  Stewardship as assessed by CPAS 9, 10; TWS 7; CDS  CPAS 9: Reflective Practitioner	1.73 (.23) 1.93 (.12)	1.63 (.50) 1.90 (.10)
TWS 4: Design for Instruction  Stewardship as assessed by CPAS 9, 10; TWS 7; CDS	1.73 (23) 1.93 (.12) 2 4.17 (.75) 4.33	1.63 (.50) 1.90 (.10) 4.42 (.65) 4.58
TWS 4: Design for Instruction  Stewardship as assessed by CPAS 9, 10; TWS 7; CDS  CPAS 9: Reflective Practitioner  CPAS 10: Professionalism and Interpersonal Relationships	1.73 (23) 1.93 (.12) 2 4.17 (.75) 4.33 (1.03)	1.63 (.50) 1.90 (.10) 4.42 (.65) 4.58 (.65)
TWS 4: Design for Instruction  Stewardship as assessed by CPAS 9, 10; TWS 7; CDS  CPAS 9: Reflective Practitioner	1.73 (23) 1.93 (.12) 2 4.17 (.75) 4.33 (1.03) 1.83	1.63 (.50) 1.90 (.10) 4.42 (.65) 4.58 (.65) 1.90
TWS 4: Design for Instruction  Stewardship as assessed by CPAS 9, 10; TWS 7; CDS  CPAS 9: Reflective Practitioner  CPAS 10: Professionalism and Interpersonal Relationships  TWS 7: Reflection and Self-Evaluation	1.73 (23) 1.93 (.12) 2 4.17 (.75) 4.33 (1.03) 1.83 (.29)	1.63 (.50) 1.90 (.10) 4.42 (.65) 4.58 (.65) 1.90 (.29)
TWS 4: Design for Instruction  Stewardship as assessed by CPAS 9, 10; TWS 7; CDS  CPAS 9: Reflective Practitioner  CPAS 10: Professionalism and Interpersonal Relationships	2 4.17 (.75) 4.33 (1.03) 1.83 (.29) 3.60	1.63 (.50) 1.90 (.10) 4.42 (.65) 4.58 (.65) 1.90 (.29) 3.71
TWS 4: Design for Instruction  Stewardship as assessed by CPAS 9, 10; TWS 7; CDS  CPAS 9: Reflective Practitioner  CPAS 10: Professionalism and Interpersonal Relationships  TWS 7: Reflection and Self-Evaluation  CDS 1: Locus of Control	2 4.17 (.75) 4.33 (1.03) 1.83 (.29) 3.60 (.04)	1.63 (.50) 1.90 (.10) 4.42 (.65) 4.58 (.65) 1.90 (.29) 3.71 (.23)
TWS 4: Design for Instruction  Stewardship as assessed by CPAS 9, 10; TWS 7; CDS  CPAS 9: Reflective Practitioner  CPAS 10: Professionalism and Interpersonal Relationships  TWS 7: Reflection and Self-Evaluation	2 4.17 (.75) 4.33 (1.03) 1.83 (.29) 3.60	1.63 (.50) 1.90 (.10) 4.42 (.65) 4.58 (.65) 1.90 (.29) 3.71

Table 16: TEAC Summary Table		
	Science and History 9-2010	
	Fall 2009	Winter 2010
Enculturation for Democracy as assessed by CPAS 5	5, 6	
CPAS Principle 5: Learning Environment & Management	n=22	n=86
1 8	3.91	4.35
	(.81)	(.78)
CPAS Principle 6: Communication	4.55 (.67)	4.55 (.64)
Access to Knowledge as assessed by CPAS 1, 3; TW		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
CPAS 1: Content Knowledge	4.59	4.44
or is it contone into model	(.67)	(.73)
CPAS Principle 3: Diversity	4.23	4.16
TWC 1. Contacted Forton	(.69)	(.72)
TWS 1: Contextual Factors	<b>n=11</b> 1.91	<b>n=34</b> 1.96
	(.15)	(.11)
TWS 5: Instructional Decision Making	1.82	1.88
THE COLUMN	(.25)	(.30)
TWS 6: Analysis of Student Learning	1.73	1.81
CDS 3: Diversity	(.24) n=11	(.22) n=43
CDS 5. Diversity	4.19	4.16
	(.41)	(.41)
Praxis II	Exam: 81, 941	Exam: 81, 941
Passing Score	<b>N=4, 7</b> 159 156	N=19 159, 156
Mean	175.25, 164.00	178.32, 165.25
St Dev	(13.65), (8.65)	(10.22), (11.05)
% Passing	100%, 96%	95%, 92%
	n=11	n=44
Major GPA	3.53	3.51
N	(.32)	(.27)
Nurturing Pedagogy as assessed by CPAS 2, 7, 8; TV		
CPAS 2: Learning and Development	4.36	4.26
CPAS 4: Instructional Strategies	(.58) 4.68	(.79) 4.50
CIAS 4. Instructional Strategies	(.57)	(.75)
CPAS 7: Planning	4.59	4.52
	(.50)	(.65)
CPAS 8: Assessment	4.18	4.40
TWS 2: Learning Goals and Objectives	(.59) 1.86	(.64) 1.93
1 W 5 2. Learning Goals and Objectives	(.17)	(.18)
TWS 3: Assessment Plan	1.82	1.83
THE STATE OF THE S	(.24)	(.17)
TWS 4: Design for Instruction	1.67 (.32)	1.88 (.16)
Stewardship as assessed by CPAS 9, 10; TWS 7; CD		(.10)
CPAS 9: Reflective Practitioner	4.73	4.67
	(.55)	(.56)
CPAS 10: Professionalism and Interpersonal Relationships	4.77	4.69
TOWER D. G. of LOUIS D. of	(.53)	(.62)
TWS 7: Reflection and Self-Evaluation	1.86	1.91
CDS 1: Locus of Control	(.21) 3.63	(.22) 3.70
CDS 1. Locus of Colling	(.26)	(.25)
CDS 2: Aspirations	3.42	3.48
	(.49)	(.29)

Table 17: TEAC Summary Table		
Spanish Teaching		
2009-	2010	
	Fall 2009	Winter 2010
Enculturation for Democracy as assessed by CPAS 5,	6	
CPAS Principle 5: Learning Environment and Management	n=10	n=20
	3.70	4.10
CPAS Principle 6: Communication	(.48) 4.10	(.79) 4.55
	(.74)	(.51)
Access to Knowledge as assessed by CPAS 1, 3; TWS	1, 5, 6; CDS 3; Praxis II; M	Iajor GPA
CPAS 1: Content Knowledge	4.00	4.30
CPAS Principle 3: Diversity	(.67) 3.80	(.66) 4.15
CFAS FINCIPIE 5. Diversity	(.79)	(.75)
TWS 1: Contextual Factors	n=5	n=5
	4.07	4.40
TWS 5: Instructional Decision Making	(.60) 3.80	(.37) 4.10
J word and a constant a	(.84)	(.42)
TWS 6: Analysis of Student Learning	4.10	3.73
CDS 3: Diversity	(.77) <b>n=5</b>	(.70) n=10
CDS 5. Diversity	4.10	4.51
	(.44)	(.30)
Praxis II	Exam: 191	Exam: 191
Passing Score	n=5 161	n=10 161
Mean	179.4	186.3
St Dev	(9.45)	(3.34)
% Passing	100% n=5	100% n=10
Major GPA	3.60	3.74
<u> </u>	(.18)	(.16)
Nurturing Pedagogy as assessed by CPAS 2, 7, 8; TW		
CPAS 2: Learning and Development	4.00	4.35 (.59)
CPAS 4: Instructional Strategies	(.67) 4.20	4.60
	(.63)	(.60)
CPAS 7: Planning	4.20	4.35
CPAS 8: Assessment	(.92) 4.00	(.67) 3.95
C1120 01 1200000000000000000000000000000	(.82)	(.89)
TWS 2: Learning Goals and Objectives	3.72	4.64
TWS 3: Assessment Plan	(.59) 3.92	(.38) 4.12
1 W.S. Assessment ran	(.41)	(.50)
TWS 4: Design for Instruction	3.00	3.75
Ctannadahin as assassad by CDAC 0 10, TWC 7, CDC	(.40)	(.79)
Stewardship as assessed by CPAS 9, 10; TWS 7; CDS CPAS 9: Reflective Practitioner	4.30	4.65
CLAS 7. Reflective practitioner	(.82)	(.59)
CPAS 10: Professionalism and Interpersonal Relationships	4.50	4.90
TWO 7. D. flack and a flat Family C	(.71)	(.31)
TWS 7: Reflection and Self-Evaluation	3.70 (.78)	4.60 (.43)
CDS 1: Locus of Control	3.71	3.92
	(.15)	(.11)
CDS 2: Aspirations	3.61 (.26)	3.83 (.16)
	(.20)	(.10)

Table 18: TEAC Summary Table		
Special E		
2009-2	2010	
	Fall 2009	Winter 2010
Enculturation for Democracy as assessed by CPAS 5, 6	6	
CPAS Principle 5: Learning Environment and Management	n=38	n=66
	4.03	4.39
CPAS Principle 6: Communication	(.97) 4.11	(.63) 4.36
	(.92)	(.76)
Access to Knowledge as assessed by CPAS 1, 3; TWS	1, 5, 6; CDS 3; Praxis II; M	Iajor GPA
CPAS 1: Content Knowledge	4.24	4.38
CPAS Principle 3: Diversity	(.85) 3.97	(.63) 4.44
CLAST Thicipie 3. Diversity	(1.03)	(.66)
TWS 1: Contextual Factors	SPED uses a different TWS	SPED uses a different TWS
TWS 5: Instructional Decision Making	with only 5 indicators	with only 5 indicators
TWS 6: Analysis of Student Learning		
CDS 3: Diversity	n=19	n=33
CDS 5. Diversity	4.34	4.28
	(.33)	(.44)
Praxis II	Exam: 14	Exam: 14
Passing Score	n=16 150	n=27 150
Mean	169.31	176.85
St Dev	(12.77)	(11.00)
% Passing	94% n=19	100% n=31
Major GPA	3.76	3.78
	(.21)	(.17)
Nurturing Pedagogy as assessed by CPAS 2, 7, 8; TWS		
CPAS 2: Learning and Development	4.08 (.97)	4.41 (.66)
CPAS 4: Instructional Strategies	4.14	4.42
	(1.08)	(.66)
CPAS 7: Planning	4.18	4.31
CPAS 8: Assessment	(1.01) 4.32	(.68) 4.24
	(.78)	(.73)
TWS 2: Learning Goals and Objectives		
TWS 3: Assessment Plan		
TWS 4: Design for Instruction		
Stewardship as assessed by CPAS 9, 10; TWS 7; CDS	2	
CPAS 9: Reflective Practitioner	4.16	4.56
CPAS 10: Professionalism and Interpersonal Relationships	(1.03) 4.18	(.64) 4.48
TWS 7: Reflection and Self-Evaluation	(.96)	(.64)
CDS 1: Locus of Control	3.86	3.79
	(.18)	(.28)
CDS 2: Aspirations	3.58 (.19)	3.49 (.36)

Table 19: TEAC Summary Tab	ole
	Technology and Engineering Education

Technology and Engineering Education 2009-2010					
2007-	Fall 2009	Winter 2010			
Enculturation for Democracy as assessed by CPAS 5, 6					
CPAS Principle 5: Learning Environment and Management	n=8	n=6			
	4.13 (.64)	4.33 (.82)			
CPAS Principle 6: Communication	4.50	4.83			
Access to Knowledge as assessed by CPAS 1. 3: TWS	Access to Knowledge as assessed by CPAS 1, 3; TWS 1, 5, 6; CDS 3; Praxis II; Major GPA				
CPAS 1: Content Knowledge	4.38	4.33			
CPAS Principle 3: Diversity	(.52) 3.63	(.52) 3.33			
	(.52)	(.52)			
TWS 1: Contextual Factors	n=4 1.92	n=3 1.78			
	(.17)	(.39)			
TWS 5: Instructional Decision Making	2.00 (.00)	2.00 (.00)			
TWS 6: Analysis of Student Learning	1.96	1.78			
CDS 3: Diversity	(.09) n=4	(.25) n=3			
CDS 3. Diversity	4.52	3.83			
р : н	(.22)	(.41)			
Praxis II	Exam: 50 n=4	Exam: 50 n=3			
Passing Score	600	600			
Mean St Dev	670 (45.46)	670 (36.06)			
% Passing	100%	100%			
Major GPA	n=4 3.70	n=3 3.92			
major GLA	(.16)	(.06)			
Nurturing Pedagogy as assessed by CPAS 2, 7, 8; TWS					
CPAS 2: Learning and Development	4.00 (.54)	4.17 (.41)			
CPAS 4: Instructional Strategies	4.50	4.50			
CDAS 7. Planning	(.54) 4.63	(.55) 4.00			
CPAS 7: Planning	(.74)	(.63)			
CPAS 8: Assessment	4.25	4.00			
TWS 2: Learning Goals and Objectives	(.71) 1.44	(.63) 1.92			
	(.80)	(.14)			
TWS 3: Assessment Plan	1.65 (.41)	1.87 (.12)			
TWS 4: Design for Instruction	1.80	1.93			
Stewardship as assessed by CPAS 9, 10; TWS 7; CDS	2 (.28)	(.12)			
CPAS 9: Reflective Practitioner	4.38	4.17			
	(.74)	(.75)			
CPAS 10: Professionalism and Interpersonal Relationships	4.25 (.89)	3.83 (.98)			
TWS 7: Reflection and Self-Evaluation	1.75	1.92			
CDS 1: Locus of Control	(.29) 3.86	(.14) 3.60			
	(.20)	(.33)			
CDS 2: Aspirations	3.63	3.15			
	(.21)	(.25)			

Theatre and Media Arts Education
2009-2010

	Fall 2009	Winter 2010
Enculturation for Democracy as assessed by CPAS 5,	5	
CPAS Principle 5: Learning Environment and Management	n=2	n=13
	3.50	4.54
	(.71)	(.52)
CPAS Principle 6: Communication	4.50	4.54
Access to Knowledge as assessed by CPAS 1, 3; TWS	(.71) 1 5 6: CDS 3: Prayic II: M	(.52)
CPAS 1: Content Knowledge	4.00	4.62
CI AS 1. Content Knowledge	(.00)	(.51)
CPAS Principle 3: Diversity	3.50	4.00
·	(.71)	(.82)
TWS 1: Contextual Factors	n=1	<b>n</b> =7
	2.00	2.00
TWO F I A A I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	2.00	(.00)
TWS 5: Instructional Decision Making	2.00	2.00
TWS 6: Analysis of Student Learning	2.00	(.00) 2.00
1 11 5 0. Analysis of Student Learning	2.00	(.00)
CDS 3: Diversity	n=1	n=7
	3.75	4.13
		(.46)
Praxis II	Exam: 640	Exam: 640
	n=1	n=6
Passing Score	600	600
Mean St Dev	750	698.33 (57.07)
% Passing	100%	100%
70 1 assing	n=1	n=7
Major GPA	3.57	3.63
		(.15)
Nurturing Pedagogy as assessed by CPAS 2, 7, 8; TWS	S 2, 3, 4; CDS 1	
CPAS 2: Learning and Development	4.50	4.31
	(.71)	(.63)
CPAS 4: Instructional Strategies	4.50	4.46
CPAS 7: Planning	(.71) 4.50	(.66) 4.31
CrAs 7. Framming	(.71)	(.63)
CPAS 8: Assessment	4.00	4.08
	(1.41)	(.76)
TWS 2: Learning Goals and Objectives	2.00	2.00
		(.00)
TWS 3: Assessment Plan	2.00	2.00
TWE 4. Design for Instruction	2.00	(.00) 2.00
TWS 4: Design for Instruction	2.00	(.00)
Stewardship as assessed by CPAS 9, 10; TWS 7; CDS		(.00)
CPAS 9: Reflective Practitioner	4.50	4.69
	(.71)	(.48)
CPAS 10: Professionalism and Interpersonal Relationships	3.50	4.85
•	(.71)	(.38)
TWS 7: Reflection and Self-Evaluation	2.00	2.00
CDC1 I AC 4 I		(.00)
CDS 1: Locus of Control	3.64	3.5
CDS 2: Aspirations	3.31	(.27) 3.40
CDS 2. Aspir auons	3.31	(.44)
		(-11)